1 Introduction

Our story about client interfaces in the previous lecture was incomplete. We were able to use client interfaces to implement queues and hash tables that treat the client’s `elem` type as abstract, but any given program could only have a single type of element, a single way of hashing.

To solve these problems, we will have to move beyond the C0 language to a language we call C1. C1 gives us two important features that aren’t available in C0. The first new feature is function pointers, which allow us to augment hash sets with methods, an idea that is connected to Java and object-oriented programming. The second new feature is a void pointer, which acts as a generic pointer.

Starting in this lecture, we will be working in an extension of C0 called C1. To get the cc0 compiler to recognize C1, you need to use a .c1 extension. Coin does not currently accept C1.

Relating to our learning goals, we have

**Computational Thinking:** Structs with function pointers that can be used to modify the data contained within the struct is an important idea from object oriented programming.

**Algorithms and Data Structures:** We will revisit the idea of hash sets in a new setting.

**Programming:** We explore function pointers and void pointers, which are necessary for creating truly generic data structures in C0/C1.
2 Hash Set Review

In the last lecture, we talked about a client interface for hash sets that allowed us to treat the type `elem` of hash table elements as abstract to the library, and therefore changeable by the client. Recall this interface, as we developed it in the last lecture:

```c
/*** Client interface ***/
// typedef _______ elem;
bool elem_equiv(elem x, elem y);
int elem_hash(elem x);

/*** Library interface ***/
// typedef ______* hset_t;

hset_t hset_new(int capacity)
   /*@requires capacity > 0; @*/
   /*@ensures \result != NULL; @*/;

elem hset_contains(hset_t H, elem x)
   /*@requires H != NULL; @*/;

void hset_add(hset_t H, elem x)
   /*@requires H != NULL; @*/
   /*@ensures hset_contains(H, x); @*/;
```

There is still a significant problem with this structure of a client/library interface. Within a given program, we could only instantiate the client interface type and the client functions once. This is a problem: even if we are okay committing to a single type of element, like a struct with two fields `color` and `fruit`

```c
struct produce {
    string color;
    string fruit;
};
```

there are multiple reasonable ways of instantiating the two client functions `elem_equiv` and `elem_hash`.

If we treat elements as equivalent only if all fields are equal, then we can have a set containing red, yellow, and green apples, red and blue berries, and yellow and green bananas.
bool produce_equiv_all(struct produce* x, struct produce* y)
//@requires x != NULL && y != NULL;
{
    return string_equal(x->color, y->color)
        && string_equal(x->fruit, y->fruit);
}

int produce_hash_all(struct produce* x)
//@requires x != NULL;
{
    return hash_string(string_join(x->color,
        string_join(" ", x->fruit)));
}

Maybe only care which fruits the table contains (so that red apples and
green apples are the same from the perspective of the set).

bool produce_equiv_color(struct produce* x, struct produce* y)
//@requires x != NULL && y != NULL;
{
    return string_equal(x->fruit, y->fruit);
}

int produce_hash_color(struct produce* x)
//@requires x != NULL;
{
    return hash_string(x->fruit);
}

Our first goal will be to allow, within a single program, the use of hash sets
that treat all fruits the as equivalent, all colors as equivalent, and sets that
only fruits with the same color and name as equivalent. We will accomplish
this with function pointers.
3 Function Pointers

Although we saw two different functions for equivalence checking above, as long as we have

```c
typedef struct produce* elem;
```

they both match the hash set client interface declaration for `elem_equiv`; in fact, any function that checks two `struct produce` values for equality has a declaration of the form:

```c
bool equiv(struct produce* x, struct produce* y);
```

The function name can be anything we choose, and so can the parameter names, but the return type and the parameter types are fixed (as well as the contract, with parameter names suitably adjusted).

In C1, we can define a type capturing all such functions by just adding the word `typedef` to the beginning of the example declaration above:

```c
typedef bool equiv_fn(struct produce* x, struct produce* y);
```

When we call a function by writing `equiv(a,b)`, the code that executes is stored in memory in compiled form. Since the amount of memory is large (compared to C0 `small types`), it is illegal to write code that treats functions like other values, as below:

```c
equiv_fn f; // NOT ALLOWED
f = produce_equiv_all; // NOT ALLOWED
println(f(a,b) ? "equiv" : "not equiv");
f = produce_equiv_color; // NOT ALLOWED
println(f(a,b) ? "equiv" : "not equiv");
```

Instead, in the extended language C1, we can declare pointers to functions of type `equiv_fn`.

```c
equiv_fn* g;
```

We don’t create function pointers by dynamically allocating them the way we do structs: all the functions we could possibly have in our program are already known when we compile the program. Instead, we use a new operator, `&` (read as `address-of`). If we write `&produce_equiv_all`, we obtain a pointer to the function `produce_equiv_all`. So we can assign the pointer to `g` as follows, as long as `produce_equiv_all` has the correct type.

```c
equiv_fn* g = &produce_equiv_all;
```
When we call a function using a function pointer, we have to use parentheses like this: \((*g)(a,b)\), because \(*g(a,b)\) is parsed the same way as \(*g(a)(b)\).

We can correct the illegal example above to:

```c
equiv_fn* f;
f = &produce_equiv_all;
println((f)(a,b) ? "equiv" : "not equiv");
f = &produce_equiv_color;
println((f)(a,b) ? "equiv" : "not equiv");
```

Like all other pointers, function pointers can be NULL, and it is a safety violation to dereference a NULL function pointer.

## 4 Methods For Hash Sets

Remember our goal: to allow, *within a single program*, the use of hash sets that act like sets of colored fruits, and other hash sets that act like maps from colors to fruits. To achieve this, we will make hash tables more generic.

The first step is to change the client interface from declaring two particular functions `elem_equiv` and `elem_hash` to declaring two function types, `elem_equiv_fn` and `elem_hash_fn`.

```c
1 /*** Client interface ***/
2 typedef struct produce* elem;
3
4 typedef bool elem_equiv_fn(elem x, elem y);
5 typedef int elem_hash_fn(elem x);
```
Now our old code for looking up an element is broken, because the functions `elem_hash` and `elem_equiv` are no longer in the client interface.

```c
elem hset_lookup(hset* H, elem x)
//@
//@ requires is_hset(H);
//@ requires x != NULL;
{
  int i = abs(elem_hash(x) % H->capacity); // BROKEN
  for (chain* p = H->table[i]; p != NULL; p = p->next) {
    //@assert p->data != NULL;
    if (elem_equiv(p->data, x)) { // BROKEN
      return p->data;
    }
  }
  return NULL;
}
```

In C1 with function pointers, there are at least two options: one option is that we could pass along pointers to the needed functions as extra arguments to the `hset_lookup`.

```c
elem hset_lookup(hset* H, elem x,
    elem_hash_fn* hash,
    elem_equiv_fn* equiv)
//@
//@ requires is_hset(H, hash, equiv);
//@ requires x != NULL && hash != NULL && equiv != NULL;
{
  int i = abs((*hash)(x) % H->capacity);
  for (chain* p = H->table[i]; p != NULL; p = p->next) {
    //@assert p->data != NULL;
    if ((*equiv)(p->data, x)) {
      return p->data;
    }
  }
  return NULL;
}
```

This is not the best option, though: the data structure invariants of our hash set implementation require that the placement of each element into a chain makes sense with respect to the hash function. We might want to have two dif-
different hash sets during the course of a program: \( H_1 \) using `produce_hash_all` and \( H_2 \) using `produce_hash_color`, but it will never make sense to have a single hash set change which hash function it is using. So the right approach is to store the two functions as fields of the `struct` `hset_header` that is created when we call `hset_new`.

```c
typedef struct hset_header hset;
struct hset_header {
    int size;
    int capacity;   /* 0 < capacity */
    chain*[] table; /* \length(table) == capacity */
    elem_equiv_fn* equiv; /* non-NULL */
    elem_hash_fn* hash; /* non-NULL */
};
```

Now our data structure invariant has to ensure that the function pointers are not `NULL`, and `hset_new` has to store the function pointers in the `struct`:

```c
bool is_hset(hset* H) {
    return H != NULL
        && H->capacity > 0
        && H->size >= 0
        && H->equiv != NULL
        && H->hash != NULL
        && is_table_expected_length(H->table, H->capacity)
        /* && each element is non-null */
        /* && there aren’t equivalent elements */
        /* && the number of elements matches the size */
        /* && every element in H->table[i] hashes to i */
}
```

```c
hset* hset_new(int capacity, elem_equiv_fn* equiv, elem_hash_fn* hash)
//@requires capacity > 0 && equiv != NULL && hash != NULL;
//@ensures is_hset(\result);
{
    hset* H = alloc(hset);
    H->size = 0;
    H->capacity = capacity;
    H->table = alloc_array(chain*, capacity);
    H->equiv = equiv;
    H->hash = hash;
```
return H;
}

The function pointers H->equiv and H->hash can be thought of as methods in an object-oriented language like Java: they are functions bundled with a particular hash set object that help us interpret the data in that object. Syntactically, compared to object-oriented languages, it's a little bit cumbersome to call these functions with the C1 notation. To avoid a lot of calls that use the cumbersome notation (*H->equiv)(x,y), we will write some helper functions so we can use calls that look like elemequiv(H,x,y).

bool elemequiv(hset* H, elem x, elem y)
//@ requires H != NULL && H->equiv != NULL;
{
  return (*H->equiv)(x, y);
}

int elemhash(hset* H, elem x)
//@ requires H != NULL && H->capacity > 0 && H->hash != NULL;
//@ requires x != NULL;
//@ ensures 0 <= \result && \result < H->capacity;
{
  return abs(*H->hash)(x) % H->capacity);
}

elem hset_lookup(hset* H, elem x)
//@ requires is_hset(H, hash, equiv);
//@ requires x != NULL && hash != NULL && equiv != NULL;
{
  int i = elemhash(H, x);
  for (chain* p = H->table[i]; p != NULL; p = p->next) {
    //@assert p->data != NULL;
    if (elemequiv(H, x, p->data)) {
      return p->data;
    }
  }
  return NULL;
}

Now we can achieve the generic behavior we wanted by creating two hash tables, one that acts like a set of colored fruits (H1) and one that acts
like an associative array where keys are colors and values are fruits (H2).

```c
hset_t H1 = hset_new(100, &produce_equiv_all, &produce_hash_all);
hset_t H2 = hset_new(100, &produce_equiv_color, &produce_hash_color);
hset_t H3 = hset_new(100, &produce_equiv_all, &produce_hash_color);
```

The third hash table, H3, works just like H1, but it may be much less efficient, because we know that any two distinct fruits with the same color will definitely collide. This is bad, but it is not as bad as a hash table which has the equivalence function `produce_equiv_color` and the hash function `produce_hash_all`. Such a hash set would be fundamentally broken; the invariants of a hash table require that if any two elements are equivalent, they must have the same hash value.

5 Generic Pointers: `void*`

Our different types of hash sets can co-exist in one program, which was our goal, but this is only true because they are storing the same type of element, `struct produce`. But we often need more generality than this: for instance, in the Clac program, we needed two stacks storing different types of elements. We can generalize our hash sets, abstracting away the element type using another new feature of C1, the `void pointer` (written `void*`). Using this feature, we can avoid the error-prone and wasteful copying of the hash set library that would otherwise be needed for each type of hash set element used in a program.

It should be said that calling it `void*` is a terrible name! (Blame C.) A variable `p` of type `void*` is allowed to hold a pointer to anything, not just to `void`. Any pointer can be turned into a void pointer by a cast:

```c
void* p1 = (void*)alloc(int);
void* p2 = (void*)alloc(string);
void* p3 = (void*)alloc(struct produce);
void* p4 = (void*)alloc(int**);
```

When we have a void pointer, we can turn it back into the type it came from by casting in the other direction:

```c
int* x = (int*)p1;
string x = *(string*)p2;
```

At runtime, a non-NULL void pointer has a tag: casting incorrectly, like trying to run `(char*)p1` in the example above, is a safety violation: it will
cause a memory error just like a NULL dereference or array-out-of-bounds error.

These tags make void pointers a bit like values in Python: a void pointer carries the information about its true pointer type, and an error is raised if we treat a pointer to an integer like a pointer to a string or vice versa. Inside of contracts, we can check that type with the `\hastag(ty,p)` function:

```c
//@assert \hastag(int*, p1);
//@assert \hastag(string*, p2);
//@assert \hastag(int**, p4);

//@assert !\hastag(string*, p1);
//@assert !\hastag(int**, p1);
//@assert !\hastag(int***, p1);
```

One quirk: the NULL void pointer is just NULL, so `\hastag(ty, NULL)` always returns true and we can do slightly strange things like this without any error:

```c
void* p = NULL;
void* x = (void*)(int*)(void*)(string*)(void*)(struct produce*)p;
```

6 Generic Hash Sets

Function pointers allowed us to implement hash sets without committing to a single implementation of our hashing and equivalence functions, but without `void*`, C1 still requires us to commit to a single implementation of the `elem` type. But if we let the type of an element be `void*`, then that’s no commitment at all: we can use any pointer type as our element.

```c
#define elem...

typedef void* elem;
typedef bool elem_equiv_fn(elem x, elem y);
typedef int elem_hash_fn(elem x);
```

The cost of this approach to generic data structures is that, within our client functions, we need to cast the generic pointers back to their original types before we use them.
bool produce_equiv_all(void* x, void* y)
//@requires x != NULL && hastag(struct produce*, x);
//@requires y != NULL && hastag(struct produce*, y);
{
    struct produce* a = (struct produce*)x;
    struct produce* b = (struct produce*)y;
    return string_equal(a->color, b->color)
        && string_equal(a->fruit, b->fruit);
}

Because the hash set implementation still treats the elem type as abstract, as long as we only give the hashtable struct produce pointers that have been cast to void*, we can be sure that only generic pointers that are correctly tagged with struct produce* will ever get passed to the equivalence function, meaning that whenever the method produce_equiv_all is called by the hash set implementation, the precondition should always be satisfied.

hset_t H1 =
    hset_new(10, &produce_equiv_color, &produce_hash_color);

struct produce* redapple = alloc(struct produce);
redapple->color = "red";
redapple->fruit = "apple";

struct produce* redberry = alloc(struct produce);
redberry->color = "red";
redberry->fruit = "berry";

hset_insert(H1, (void*)redapple);
void* x = hset_lookup(H1, (void*)redberry);
assert(redapple == (struct produce*)x);

With this new interface, it’s now possible for one program to use hash sets storing different types of elements without duplicating the hash set implementation code. For example, the following code could be added to the “produce” client.

typedef struct prod_count* prod_count_t;

struct prod_count {
    string fruit;
}
```c
int count;

bool prod_count_equiv(void* x, void* y)
//@requires x != NULL && hastag(struct prod_count*, x);
//@requires y != NULL && hastag(struct prod_count*, y);
{
    return string_equal(((struct prod_count*)x)->fruit,
                         ((struct prod_count*)y)->fruit);
}

int prod_count_hash(void* x)
//@requires x != NULL && hastag(struct prod_count*, x);
{
    return hash_string(((struct prod_count*) x)->fruit);
}

void* prod_count(string fruit, int count)
//@ensures \result != NULL;
//@ensures hastag(struct prod_count*, \result);
{
    struct prod_count* x = alloc(struct prod_count);
    x->fruit = fruit;
    x->count = count;
    return (void*)x;
}

int main() {
    elem greenapple = produce("green", "apple");
    elem redapple = produce("red", "apple");
    elem redberry = produce("red", "berry");
    elem blueberry = produce("blue", "berry");
    elem apple_count = prod_count("apple", 2);
    elem berry_count = prod_count("berry", 2);
    hset_t H1 = hset_new(10, &produce_equiv_all,
                          &produce_hash_all);
    hset_t H3 = hset_new(10, &prod_count_equiv,
                          &prod_count_hash);
```
Notice that even though produce() and prod_count() create different structures, from the hash set’s point of view both produce things of type elem, i.e., `void*`. The type of the hash set elements has been abstracted away, as it should be, since the hash set implementation should work for any element type as long as it’s a pointer type.