Cloud Computing CS 15-319

Programming Models- Part II Lecture 5, Jan 30, 2012

Majd F. Sakr and Mohammad Hammoud

حامعة کارنیدی سلون فی قطر **Carnegie Mellon Qatar**



- Last session
 - Programming Models- Part I
- Today's session
 - Programming Models Part II
- Announcement:
 - Project update is due on Wednesday February 1st



Objectives



Message Passing Interface



What is MPI?

- The Message Passing Interface (MPI) is a message passing library standard for writing message passing programs
- The goal of MPI is to establish a *portable*, *efficient*, and *flexible* standard for message passing
- By itself, MPI is NOT a library but rather the specification of what such a library should be
- MPI is not an IEEE or ISO standard, but has in fact, become the industry standard for writing message passing programs on HPC platforms

حامجة کارنیدی میلمد فی قطر Carnegie Mellon Qatar

Reasons for using MPI

Reason	Description
Standardization	MPI is the only message passing library which can be considered a standard. It is supported on virtually all HPC platforms
Portability	There is no need to modify your source code when you port your application to a different platform that supports the MPI standard
Performance Opportunities	Vendor implementations should be able to exploit native hardware features to optimize performance
Functionality	Over 115 routines are defined
Availability	A variety of implementations are available, both vendor and public domain

What Programming Model?

- MPI is an example of a message passing programming model
- MPI is now used on just about any common parallel architecture including MPP, SMP clusters, workstation clusters and heterogeneous networks
- With MPI, the programmer is responsible for correctly identifying parallelism and implementing parallel algorithms using MPI constructs



Communicators and Groups

- MPI uses objects called *communicators and groups* to define which collection of processes may communicate with each other to solve a certain problem
- Most MPI routines require you to specify a communicator as an argument
- The communicator MPI_COMM_WORLD is often used in calling communication subroutines

 MPI_COMM_WORLD is the predefined communicator that includes <u>all</u> of your MPI processes

حامجة كارنيدى مبلمر في قطر **Carnegie Mellon Qatar**

Ranks

- Within a communicator, every process has its own unique, integer identifier referred to as *rank*, assigned by the system when the process initializes
- A rank is sometimes called a *task ID*. Ranks are contiguous and begin at *zero*
- Ranks are used by the programmer to specify the source and destination of messages

 Ranks are often also used conditionally by the application to control program execution (e.g., *if rank=0 do this / if rank=1 do that*)

<u>دامحة کارنیدی میلور فی قطر</u> **Carnegie Mellon Qatar**

© Carnegie Mellon University in Qatar

Multiple Communicators

- It is possible that a problem consists of several sub-problems where each can be solved independently
- This type of application is typically found in the category of *MPMD* coupled analysis
- We can create a new communicator for each sub-problem as a subset of an existing communicator

 MPI allows you to achieve t 	by using MPI_COMM_SPLIT	
	Land Landburgh	
© Carnegie Mellon University in Qatar	جامعة کارتيجي ميلون في قطر Carnegie Mellon Qatar 10	

Example of Multiple Communicators

 Consider a problem with a fluid dynamics part and a structural analysis part, where each part can be computed in parallel



Message Passing Interface



Point-to-Point Communication

- MPI point-to-point operations typically involve message passing between two, <u>and only two</u>, different MPI tasks
 - One task performs a send operation and the other performs a <u>matching</u> receive operation



 Ideally, every send operation would be perfectly synchronized with its matching receive

 This is rarely the case. Somehow or other, the MPI implementation must be able to deal with <u>storing data</u> when the two tasks are out of sync

Two Cases

- Consider the following two cases:
 - A send operation occurs 5 seconds before the receive is ready - where is the message stored while the receive is pending?
 - 2. Multiple sends arrive at the same receiving task which can only accept one send at a time *what happens to the messages that are "backing up"?*

دامهم کارنیدی سلوں فی قطر **Carnegie Mellon Qatar**

Steps Involved in Point-to-Point Communication

- The data is copied to the user buffer by the user
- 2. The user calls one of the MPI send routines
- 3. The system copies the data from the user buffer to the system buffer
- The system sends the data from the system buffer to the destination process



- 1. The user calls one of the MPI receive routines
- 2. The system receives the data from the source process and copies it to the system buffer
- 3. The system copies data from the system buffer to the user buffer
- 4. The user uses data in the user buffer

Blocking Send and Receive

- When we use point-to-point communication routines, we usually distinguish between *blocking and non-blocking communication*
- A blocking send routine will only *return* after it is safe to modify the application buffer for reuse
 - Safe means that modifications will not affect the data intended for the receive task
 - This does not imply that the data was actually received by the receiver- it may be sitting in the system buffer at the sender side



Blocking Send and Receive

- A blocking send can be:
 - 1. Synchronous: Means there is a handshaking occurring with the receive task to confirm a safe send
 - 2. Asynchronous: Means the system buffer at the sender side is used to hold the data for eventual delivery to the receiver
- A blocking receive only returns after the data has arrived (i.e., stored at the application recvbuf) and is ready for use by the program

حامجة کارنیدی میلور فی قطر Carnegie Mellon Qatar

Non-Blocking Send and Receive (1)

- Non-blocking send and non-blocking receive routines behave similarly
 - They return almost immediately
 - They do not wait for any communication events to complete such as:
 - Message copying from user buffer to system buffer
 - Or the actual arrival of a message

دامجة کارنیدی ساور فی قطر **Carnegie Mellon Qatar**

© Carnegie Mellon University in Qatar

Non-Blocking Send and Receive (2)

- However, it is unsafe to modify the application buffer until you make sure that the requested non-blocking operation was actually performed by the library
- If you use the application buffer before the copy completes:
 - Incorrect data may be copied to the system buffer (in case of non-blocking send)
 - Or your receive buffer does not contain what you want (in case of non-blocking receive)

You can make sure of the completion of the copy by using MPI_WAIT() after the send or receive operations

> جامعة کارنیجی میلود فی قطر Carnegie Mellon Qatar

Why Non-Blocking Communication?

- Why do we use non-blocking communication despite its complexity?
 - Non-blocking communication is generally faster than its corresponding blocking communication
 - We can overlap computations while the system is copying data back and forth between application and system buffers



MPI Point-To-Point Communication Routines

Routine	Signature
Blocking send	<pre>int MPI_Send(void *buf, int count, MPI_Datatype datatype, int dest, int tag, MPI_Comm comm)</pre>
Non-blocking send	int <i>MPI_Isend</i> (void *buf, int count, MPI_Datatype datatype, int dest, int tag, MPI_Comm comm, MPI_Request *request)
Blocking receive	int MPI_Recv(void *buf, int count, MPI_Datatype datatype, int source, int tag, MPI_Comm comm, MPI_Status *status)
Non-blocking receive	int MPI_Irecv(void *buf, int count, MPI_Datatype datatype, int source, int tag, MPI_Comm comm, MPI_Request *request)

MPI Example: Adding Array Elements

<pre>#include <stdio.h> #include <mpi.h></mpi.h></stdio.h></pre>		
#define array_size 20 #define num_elem_p #define tag1 1 #define tag2 2	0 p 10	
int array_send[array_ int array_recv[num_e	_size]; elem_pp];	
int main(int argc, cha	ır **argv){	
int myP int num int sum int parti double double MPI_St	PID; $a_procs;$ a = 0; $aal_sum = 0;$ startTime = 0.0; endTime; tatus status;	Initialize MPI environment
MPI_In MPI_Co MPI_Co	it(&argc, &argv); omm_rank(MPI_COMM_WORLD, &my omm_size(MPI_COMM_WORLD, ν	/PID); m_procs);
© Carnegie Mellon University in	D == 0) startTime = MPI_Wtime(); _{Qatar}	the Master

MPI Example: Adding Array Elements



MPI Example: Adding Array Elements



Unidirectional Communication

- When you send a message from process 0 to process 1, there are four combinations of MPI subroutines to choose from
 - 1. Blocking send and blocking receive
 - 2. Non-blocking send and blocking receive
 - 3. Blocking send and non-blocking receive



4. Non-blocking send and non-blocking receive



Bidirectional Communication

- When two processes exchange data with each other, there are essentially 3 cases to consider:
 - <u>Case 1</u>: Both processes call the send routine first, and then receive
 - <u>Case 2</u>: Both processes call the receive routine first, and then send



 <u>Case 3</u>: One process calls send and receive routines in this order, and the other calls them in the opposite order

حامجة کارنیدی میلمر، فی قطر Carnegie Mellon Qatar

Bidirectional Communication-Deadlocks

- With bidirectional communication, we have to be careful about *deadlocks*
- When a deadlock occurs, processes involved in the deadlock will not proceed any further
- Deadlocks can take place:
 - 1. Either due to the incorrect order of send and receive
 - 2. Or due to the limited size of the system buffer





© Carnegie Mellon University in Qatar

Case 1. Send First and Then Receive

Consider the following two snippets of pseudo-code:

IF (myrank==0) THEN CALL MPI SEND(sendbuf, ...) CALL MPI RECV(recvbuf, ...) ELSEIF (myrank==1) THEN CALL MPI SEND(sendbuf, ...) CALL MPI RECV(recvbuf, ...) ENDIF

IF (myrank==0) THEN CALL MPI ISEND(sendbuf, ..., ireq, ...) CALL MPI WAIT(ireq, ...) CALL MPI RECV(recvbuf, ...) ELSEIF (myrank==1) THEN CALL MPI ISEND(sendbuf, ..., ireq, ...) CALL MPI WAIT(ireq, ...) CALL MPI RECV(recvbuf, ...) ENDIF

MPI_ISEND immediately followed by MPI_WAIT is logically equivalent to MPI_SEND

حامعة کارنیدی سلون فی قطر **Carnegie Mellon Qatar**

Case 1. Send First and Then Receive

What happens if the system buffer is larger than the send buffer?



Case 1. Send First and Then Receive

Consider the following pseudo-code:

```
IF (myrank==0) THEN
CALL MPI_ISEND(sendbuf, ..., ireq, ...)
CALL MPI_RECV(recvbuf, ...)
CALL MPI_WAIT(ireq, ...)
ELSEIF (myrank==1) THEN
CALL MPI_ISEND(sendbuf, ..., ireq, ...)
CALL MPI_RECV(recvbuf, ...)
CALL MPI_RECV(recvbuf, ...)
CALL MPI_WAIT(ireq, ...)
ENDIF
```

- The code is free from deadlock because:
 - The program immediately returns from MPI_ISEND and starts receiving data from the other process
 - In the meantime, data transmission is completed and the calls of MPI_WAIT for the completion of send at both processes do not lead to a deadlock

Case 2. Receive First and Then Send

- Would the following pseudo-code lead to a deadlock?
 - A deadlock will occur regardless of how much system buffer we have

```
IF (myrank==0) THEN
CALL MPI_RECV(recvbuf, ...)
CALL MPI_SEND(sendbuf, ...)
ELSEIF (myrank==1) THEN
CALL MPI_RECV(recvbuf, ...)
CALL MPI_ISEND(sendbuf, ...)
ENDIF
```

 What if we use MPI_ISEND instead of MPI_SEND?
 Deadlock still occurs

 ² Carnegie Mellon Qatar
 ³¹

Case 2. Receive First and Then Send

- What about the following pseudo-code?
 - It can be safely executed

IF (myrank==0) THEN CALL MPI IRECV(recvbuf, ..., ireq, ...) CALL MPI SEND(sendbuf, ...) CALL MPI WAIT(ireq, ...) ELSEIF (myrank==1) THEN CALL MPI IRECV(recvbuf, ..., ireq, ...) CALL MPI SEND(sendbuf, ...) CALL MPI WAIT(ireq, ...) ENDIF

حامحة کارنیدی سلور فی قطر **Carnegie Mellon** Qatar

© Carnegie Mellon University in Qatar

Case 3. One Process Sends and Receives; the other Receives and Sends

What about the following code?

IF (myrank==0) THEN
 CALL MPI_SEND(sendbuf, ...)
 CALL MPI_RECV(recvbuf, ...)
ELSEIF (myrank==1) THEN
 CALL MPI_RECV(recvbuf, ...)
 CALL MPI_SEND(sendbuf, ...)
ENDIF

 It is always safe to order the calls of MPI_(I)SEND and MPI_(I)RECV at the two processes in an opposite order

In this case, we can use either blocking or non-blocking subroutines

حامحة کارنیدی سلوں فی قطر **Carnegie Mellon Qatar**

A Recommendation

Considering the previous options, performance, and the avoidance of deadlocks, it is recommended to use the following code:

```
IF (myrank==0) THEN
  CALL MPI ISEND(sendbuf, ..., ireq1, ...)
  CALL MPI IRECV(recvbuf, ..., ireq2, ...)
ELSEIF (myrank==1) THEN
  CALL MPI ISEND(sendbuf, ..., ireq1, ...)
  CALL MPI IRECV(recvbuf, ..., ireq2, ...)
ENDIF
  CALL MPI WAIT(ireq1, ...)
  CALL MPI WAIT(ireq2, ...)
```



Message Passing Interface



Collective Communication

- Collective communication allows you to exchange data among a group of processes
- It must involve all processes in the scope of a communicator
- The communicator argument in a collective communication routine should specify which processes are involved in the communication
- Hence, it is the programmer's responsibility to ensure that all processes within a communicator participate in any collective operation

دامهم کارنیدی سیامی فی قد **Carnegie Mellon Qatar**

Patterns of Collective Communication

- There are several patterns of collective communication:
 - 1. Broadcast
 - 2. Scatter
 - 3. Gather
 - 4. Allgather
 - 5. Alltoall
 - 6. Reduce
 - 7. Allreduce
 - 8. Scan
 - 9. Reducescatter

حامحة کارنیدی سلون فی قطر **Carnegie Mellon** Qatar

© Carnegie Mellon University in Qatar

1. Broadcast

 Broadcast sends a message from the process with rank root to all other processes in the group



int **MPI_Bcast** (void *buffer, int count, MPI_Datatype datatype, int root, MPI_Comm comm)

حامحة کارنیدی سلون فی قطر **Carnegie Mellon** Qatar

© Carnegie Mellon University in Qatar

2-3. Scatter and Gather

- Scatter distributes distinct messages from a single source task to each task in the group
- Gather gathers distinct messages from each task in the group to a single destination task



int MPI_Scatter (void *sendbuf, int sendcnt, MPI_Datatype sendtype, void *recvbuf, int recvcnt, MPI_Datatype recvtype, int root, MPI_Comm comm)

int MPI_Gather (void *sendbuf, int sendcnt, MPI_Datatype sendtype, void *recvbuf, int recvcount, MPI_Datatype recvtype, int root, MPI_Comm comm)

4. All Gather

 Allgather gathers data from all tasks and distribute them to all tasks. Each task in the group, in effect, performs a one-to-all broadcasting operation within the group



int MPI_Allgather (void *sendbuf, int sendcount, MPI_Datatype sendtype, void *recvbuf, int recvcount, MPI_Datatype recvtype, MPI_Comm comm)



© Carnegie Mellon University in Qatar

5. All To All

With Alltoall, each task in a group performs a scatter operation, sending a distinct message to all the tasks in the group in order by index





حامعة کارنیدی سلون فی قطر **Carnegie Mellon Qatar**

© Carnegie Mellon University in Qatar

6-7. Reduce and All Reduce

- Reduce applies a reduction operation on all tasks in the group and places the result in one task
- Allreduce applies a reduction operation and places the result in all tasks in the group. This is equivalent to an MPI_Reduce followed by an MPI_Bcast



int MPI_Reduce (void *sendbuf, void *recvbuf, int count, MPI_Datatype datatype, MPI_Op op, int root, MPI_Comm comm)

int MPI_Allreduce (void *sendbuf, void *recvbuf, int count, MPI_Datatype datatype, MPI_Op op, MPI_Comm comm)

8. Scan

Scan computes the scan (partial reductions) of data on a collection of processes





9. Reduce Scatter

 Reduce Scatter combines values and scatters the results. It is equivalent to an MPI_Reduce followed by an MPI_Scatter operation.





Considerations and Restrictions

- Collective operations are blocking
- Collective communication routines do not take message tag arguments
- Collective operations within subsets of processes are accomplished by first partitioning the subsets into new groups and then attaching the new groups to new communicators



Next Class

