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## Today...

- Last Session:
- The relational model
- Today's Session:
- Relational algebra
- Relational query languages (in general)
- Relational operators
- Additional examples
- Announcements:
- PS1 is due tomorrow by midnight
- In the next recitation we will practice on translating ER designs into relational databases as well as practice on relational algebra


## Outline

## Query Languages

## Relational Operators

Examples on Relational Algebra

## Relational Query Languages

- Query languages (QLs) allow manipulating and retrieving data from databases
- The relational model supports simple and powerful QLs:
- Strong formal foundation based on logic
- High amenability for effective optimizations
- Query Languages != programming languages!
- QLs are not expected to be "Turing complete"
- QLs are not intended to be used for complex calculations
- QLs support easy and efficient access to large datasets


## Formal Relational Query Languages

- There are two mathematical Query Languages which form the basis for commercial languages (e.g. SQL)
- Relational Algebra
- Queries are composed of operators
- Each query describes a step-by-step procedure for computing the desired answer
- Very useful for representing execution plans
- Relational Calculus
- Queries are subsets of first-order logic
- Queries describe desired answers without specifying how they will be computed
- A type of non-procedural (or declarative) formal query language


## Formal Relational Query Languages

- There are two mathematical Query Languages which form the basis for commercial languages (e.g. SQL)

This session's topic
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## Relational Algebra

- Operators (with notations):

1. Selection (0 )
2. Projection (8)
3. Cross-product (X )
4. Set-difference (-)
5. Union (U)
6. Intersection ( $\cap$ )
7. Join (ゆ
8. Division ( $\div$ )
9. Renaming ( $\rho$ )

- Each operation returns a relation, hence, operations can be composed! (i.e., Algebra is "closed")


## Relational Algebra

- Operators (with notations):

- Each operation returns a relation, hence, operations can be composed! (i.e., Algebra is "closed")


## The Projection Operatation

- Projection: $\pi_{\text {att-list }}(R)$
- "Project out" attributes that are NOT in att-list
- The schema of the output relation contains ONLY the fields in att-list, with the same names that they had in the input relation
- Example 1: $\pi$

Input Relation:

| sid | sname | rating | age |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 28 | yuppy | 9 | 35.0 |
| 31 | lubber | 8 | 55.5 |
| 44 | guppy | 5 | 35.0 |
| 58 | rusty | 10 | 35.0 |

S2

Output Relation:

| sname | rating |
| :--- | :--- |
| yuppy | 9 |
| lubber | 8 |
| guppy <br> rusty | 5 |

## The Projection Operation

- Example 2: $\pi_{\text {age }}(S 2)$

Input Relation:
Output Relation:

| $\underline{\text { sid }}$ | sname | rating | age | age |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28 | yuppy | 9 | 35.0 | age |
| 31 | lubber | 8 | 55.5 | 35.0 |
| 44 | guppy | 5 | 35.0 | 55.5 |
| 58 | rusty 10 :35.0 |  |  |  |

- The projection operator eliminates duplicates!
- Note: real DBMSs typically do not eliminate duplicates unless explicitly asked for


## The Selection Operation

- Selection: $\sigma_{\text {condition }}(R)$
- Selects rows that satisfy the selection condition
- The schema of the output relation is identical to the schema of the input relation
- Example: $\quad \sigma_{\text {rating }>8}(S 2)$

Input Relation:

| sid | sname | rating | age |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 28 | yuppy | 9 | 35.0 |
| 31 | lubber | 8 | 55.5 |
| 44 | guppy | 5 | 35.0 |
| 58 | rusty | 10 | 35.0 |\(.\left\langle\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|}\hline sid \& sname \& rating \& age <br>

28 \& yuppy \& 9 \& 35.0 <br>
58 \& $$
\begin{array}{l}\text { yusty } \\
\text { rust }\end{array}
$$ \& 10 \& 35.0 <br>
\hline\end{array}\right.\)

## Operator Composition

- The output relation can be the input for another relational algebra operation! (Operator composition)



## The Union Operation

- Union: R U S
- The two input relations must be union-compatible
- Same number of fields
- `Corresponding' fields have the same type
- The output relation includes all tuples that occur "in either" R or S "or both"
- The schema of the output relation is identical to the schema of $R$
- Example: $S 1 \cup S 2$

Input Relations:

| $\underline{\text { sid }}$ | sname | rating | age |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 22 | dustin | 7 | 45.0 |
| 31 | lubber | 8 | 55.5 |
| 58 | rusty | 10 | 35.0 |

S1

| sid | sname | rating | age |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 28 | yuppy | 9 | 35.0 |
| 31 | lubber | 8 | 55.5 |
| 44 | guppy | 5 | 35.0 |
| 58 | rusty | 10 | 35.0 |

S2

Output Relation:

| sid | sname | rating | age |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 22 | dustin | 7 | 45.0 |
| 31 | lubber | 8 | 55.5 |
| 58 | rusty | 10 | 35.0 |
| 44 | guppy | 5 | 35.0 |
| 28 | yuppy | 9 | 35.0 |

## The Intersection Operation

- Intersection: $\boldsymbol{R} \cap \boldsymbol{S}$
- The two input relations must be union-compatible
- The output relation includes all tuples that occur "in both" $R$ and $S$
- The schema of the output relation is identical to the schema of $R$
- Example: $S 1 \cap S 2$

Input Relations:

| $\underline{\text { sid }}$ | sname | rating | age |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 22 | dustin | 7 | 45.0 |
| 31 | lubber | 8 | 55.5 |
| 58 | rusty | 10 | 35.0 |

S1

| $\underline{\text { sid }}$ | sname | rating | age |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 28 | yuppy | 9 | 35.0 |
| 31 | lubber | 8 | 55.5 |
| 44 | guppy | 5 | 35.0 |
| 58 | rusty | 10 | 35.0 |

S2

Output Relation:

$\downarrow$| sid | sname | rating | age |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 31 | lubber | 8 | 55.5 |
| 58 | rusty | 10 | 35.0 |

## The Set-Difference Operation

- Set-Difference: $\boldsymbol{R}$ - $\boldsymbol{S}$
- The two input relations must be union-compatible
- The output relation includes all tuples that occur in $R$ "but not" in $S$
- The schema of the output relation is identical to the schema of $R$
- Example: $S 1-S 2$

Input Relations:

| $\underline{\text { sid }}$ | sname | rating | age |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 22 | dustin | 7 | 45.0 |
| 31 | lubber | 8 | 55.5 |
| 58 | rusty | 10 | 35.0 |

S1

| $\underline{\text { sid }}$ | sname | rating | age |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 28 | yuppy | 9 | 35.0 |
| 31 | lubber | 8 | 55.5 |
| 44 | guppy | 5 | 35.0 |
| 58 | rusty | 10 | 35.0 |

S2

Output Relation:


## The Cross-Product and Renaming Operations

- Cross Product: $\boldsymbol{R X S}$
- Each row of $R$ is paired with each row of $S$
- The schema of the output relation concatenates S1's and R1's schemas
- Conflict: R and S might have the same field name
- Solution: Rename fields using the "Renaming Operator"
- Renaming: $\rho(R(\bar{F}), E)$
- Example: $S 1 X R 1$

|  | Input |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| sid | sname | rating | age |
| 22 | dustin | 7 | 45.0 |
| 31 | lubber | 8 | 55.5 |
| 58 | rusty | 10 | 35.0 |

## Output Relation:

| $\frac{\text { sid }}{}$ | bid | $\underline{\text { day }}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 22 | 101 | $10 / 10 / 96$ |  |
| 58 | 103 | $11 / 12 / 96$ |  |
| R1 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |


| ( ${ }^{--\mathrm{sid})}$ | sname | rating | age | ( P (sid) | bid | day |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ,22 | dustin | 7 | 45.0- | -22 | 101 | 10/10/96 |
| \% 22 | dustin | 7 | 45.0 | 58 | 103 | 11/12/96 |
| 31 | lubber |  | 55.5 | 22 | 101 | 10/10/96 |
| 31 | lubber | 8 | 55.5 | 58 | 103 | 11/12/96 |
|  | - ${ }^{\text {ústy }}$ | 10 | 35.0 | 22 | 101 | 10/10/96 |
| - -58 | rusty | 10 | 35.0 | 58 | 103 | 11/12/96 |

Conflict: Both S1 and R1 have a field called sid

## The Cross-Product and Renaming Operations

- Cross Product: $\boldsymbol{R X S}$
- Each row of $R$ is paired with each row of $S$
- The schema of the output relation concatenates S1's and R1's schemas
- Conflict: R and S might have the same field name
- Solution: Rename fields using the "Renaming Operator"
- Renaming: $\rho(R(\bar{F}), E)$
- Example: $S 1 X R 1$

|  |  | Inpu |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| sid | sname | rating | age |
| 22 | dustin | 7 | 45.0 |
| 31 | lubber | 8 | 55.5 |
| 58 | rusty | 10 | 35.0 |

Output Relation:

| (sid) | sname | rating | age | (sid) | bid | day |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 22 | dustin | 7 | 45.0 | 22 | 101 | $10 / 10 / 96$ |
| 22 | dustin | 7 | 45.0 | 58 | 103 | $11 / 12 / 96$ |
| 31 | lubber | 8 | 55.5 | 22 | 101 | $10 / 10 / 96$ |
| 31 | lubber | 8 | 55.5 | 58 | 103 | $11 / 12 / 96$ |
| 58 | rusty | 10 | 35.0 | 22 | 101 | $10 / 10 / 96$ |
| 58 | rusty | 10 | 35.0 | 58 | 103 | $11 / 12 / 96$ |

$$
\rho(C(1 \rightarrow \operatorname{sid} 1,5 \rightarrow \operatorname{sid} 2), S 1 \times R 1)
$$

## The Join Operation

- (Theta) Join : $R \bowtie{ }_{c} S=\sigma_{c}(R \times S)$
- The schema of the output relation is the same as that of cross-product
- It usually includes fewer tuples than cross-product
- Example: $\quad S 1 \bowtie_{S 1 . s i d<R 1 . s i d} R 1$


Will be redundant "if" the condition is S1.sid = R1.sid!

## The Join Operation

- Equi-Join: $R \bowtie{ }_{c} S=\sigma_{c}(R \times S)$
- A special case of theta join where the condition $c$ contains only equalities
- The schema of the output relation is the same as that of cross-product, "but only one copy of the fields for which equality is specified"
- Natural Join: $R \bowtie S$
- Equijoin on "all" common fields
- Example: $S 1 \bowtie$ $S 1$. sid $=R 1$. sid $R 1$

Input Relations:
Output Relation:

| sid | sname | rating | age |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 22 | dustin | 7 | 45.0 |
| 31 | lubber | 8 | 55.5 |
| 58 | rusty | 10 | 35.0 |


| $\underline{\text { sid }}$ | $\underline{\text { bid }}$ | $\underline{\text { day }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 22 | 101 | $10 / 10 / 96$ |
| 58 | 103 | $11 / 12 / 96$ |

S1
R1

## The Join Operation

- Equi-Join: $R \bowtie{ }_{c} S=\sigma_{c}(R \times S)$
- A special case of theta join where the condition $c$ contains only equalities
- The schema of the output relation is the same as that of cross-product, "but only one copy of the fields for which equality is specified"
- Natural Join: $R \bowtie S$
- Equijoin on "all" common fields
- Example: S $1 \bowtie R 1 \ll$ Natural Join

Input Relations:

| $\underline{\text { sid }}$ | sname | rating | age |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 22 | dustin | 7 | 45.0 |
| 31 | lubber | 8 | 55.5 |
| 58 | rusty | 10 | 35.0 |

S1

| $\underline{\text { sid }}$ | $\underline{\text { bid }}$ | $\underline{\text { day }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 22 | 101 | $10 / 10 / 96$ |
| 58 | 103 | $11 / 12 / 96$ |

R1

Output Relation:

2. | sid | sname | rating | age | bid | day |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 22 | dustin | 7 | 45.0 | 101 | $10 / 10 / 96$ |
| 58 | rusty | 10 | 35.0 | 103 | $11 / 12 / 96$ |

In this case, same as equi-join!

## The Division Operation

- Division: $R \div S$
- Not supported as a primitive operator, but useful for expressing queries like:

Find sailors who have reserved all boats

- Let $A$ have 2 fields, $x$ and $y$; $B$ have only field $y$ :
- $A / B$ contains all $x$ tuples (sailors) such that for every $y$ tuple (boat) in $B$, there is an $x y$ tuple in $A$
- Or: If the set of $y$ values (boats) associated with an $x$ value (sailor) in $A$ contains all $y$ values in $B$, then $x$ value is in $A / B$
- Formally: $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{B}=\{\langle x\rangle \mid \exists\langle x, y\rangle \in A \quad \forall\langle y\rangle \in B\}$
- In general, $x$ and $y$ can be any lists of fields; $y$ is the list of fields in $B$, and $x$ $y$ is the list of fields in $A$


## Examples of Divisions

| sno | pno |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| s1 | p1 | pno |
| p2 |  |  |
| s1 | p2 | B1 |
| s1 | p3 |  |
| s1 | p4 |  |
| s2 | p1 | pno |
| p2 | p2 |  |
| s3 | p2 |  |
| s4 | p2 | B2 |
| s4 | p4 |  |
| $A$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |


| pno | sno <br> p1 <br> p2 <br> p4 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $B 3$ | s2 <br> s3 <br> s4 |



| sno |
| :--- |
| s1 |
| $A / B 3$ |

A/B2

## Expressing A/B Using Basic Operators

- Division can be derived from the fundamental operators
- Idea: For $A / B$, compute all $x$ values that are not `disqualified' by some $y$ value in $B$
- $x$ value is disqualified if by attaching $y$ value from $B$, we obtain an xy tuple that is "not" in A

$$
\text { Disqualified } x \text { values: } \quad \pi_{x}\left(\left(\pi_{x}(A) \times B\right)-A\right)
$$

$A / B: \quad \pi_{x}(A)-$ all disqualified tuples

## Relational Algebra: Summary

- Operators (with notations):

1. Selection (o ): selects a subset of rows from a relation
2. Projection ( ): deletes unwanted columns from a relation
3. Cross-product ( $X$ ): allows combining two relations
4. Set-difference (-): retains tuples which are in relation 1, "but not" in relation 2
5. Union ( $U$ ): retains tuples which are in "either" relation 1 or relation 2, "or in both"

## Relational Algebra: Summary

- Operators (with notations):

6. Intersection ( $\cap$ ): retains tuples which are in relation 1 "and" in relation 2
7. Join ( $\bowtie$ ): allows combining two relations according to a specific condition (e.g., theta, equi and natural joins)
8. Division ( $\div$ ): generates the largest instance $Q$ such that $Q \times B$ $\subseteq A$ when computing $A / B$
9. Renaming $(\rho)$ : returns an instance of a new relation with some fields being potentially "renamed"

## Outline

## Query Languages

## Relational Operators

Examples on Relational Algebra

## Additional Examples

- Q1: Find names of sailors who've reserved boat \#103

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\pi_{\text {sname }}\left(\left(\sigma_{\text {bid }=103} \text { Reserves }\right) \bowtie \text { Sailors }\right) \\
\pi_{\text {sname }}\left(\sigma_{\text {or }}^{\text {bid }=103}\right. \\
\quad \text { Reserves } \bowtie \text { Sailors })
\end{array}\right)
$$

## Which one to choose?

## Additional Examples

- Q2: Find names of sailors who've reserved a red boat $\pi_{\text {sname }}\left(\left(\sigma_{\text {color }=^{\prime} \text { red }}{ }^{\prime}\right.\right.$ Boats $) \bowtie$ Reserves $\bowtie$ Sailors $)$

OR
$\pi_{\text {sname }}\left(\pi_{\text {sid }}\left(\left(\pi_{\text {bid }} \sigma_{\text {color }=\text { 'red }}{ }^{\text {Boats })}\right.\right.\right.$ ) $\left.\operatorname{Res}\right) \bowtie$ Sailors $)$

## A query optimizer can find the second one, given the first solution!

## Additional Examples

- Q3: Find sailors who've reserved a red or a green boat
$\rho\left(\right.$ Tempboats, $\left(\sigma_{\text {color }}=\right.$ ' red' $\vee$ color $=$ ' green' ${ }^{\prime}$ Boats $\left.)\right)$
$\pi_{\text {sname }}{ }^{(\text {Tempboats } \bowtie \operatorname{Reserves} \bowtie} \bowtie$ Sailors)


## Can we define Tempboats using union?

What happens if $\vee$ is replaced by $\wedge$ ?

## Additional Examples

- Q4: Find sailors who've reserved a red and a green boat
$\rho\left(\right.$ Tempred,$\pi_{\text {sid }}\left(\left(\sigma_{\text {color }}=\right.\right.$ 'red ${ }^{\prime}$ Boats $) \bowtie$ Reserves $\left.)\right)$
$\rho\left(\right.$ Tempgreen, $\pi_{\text {sid }}\left(\left(\sigma_{\text {color }}=\right.\right.$ green' $^{\prime}$ Boats $) \bowtie$ Reserves $\left.)\right)$
$\pi_{\text {sname }}(($ Tempred $\cap$ Tempgreen $) \bowtie$ Sailors $)$

Would the previous approach (i.e., using $\cap$ instead of U ) work?

## Additional Examples

- Q5: Find the names of sailors who've reserved all boats
$\rho$ (Tempsids, ( $\pi_{\text {sid,bid }}$ Reserves $) /\left(\pi_{\text {bid }}\right.$ Boats $\left.)\right)$
$\pi_{\text {sname }}($ Tempsids $\bowtie$ Sailors $)$

How can we find sailors who've reserved all 'Interlake' boats?

## Summary

- The relational model has rigorously defined query languages that are simple and powerful
- Relational algebra is operational; useful as internal representation for query evaluation plans
- Several ways of expressing a given query; a query optimizer should choose the most efficient version


## Next Class

## Relational Calculus

