Today...

- **Last Session:**
  - Quiz I & a Brief Introduction on Disks

- **Today’s Session:**
  - DBMS Internals- Part I
    - Disk Space Management
    - Buffer Management

- **Announcements:**
  - Quiz I grades are out
  - Project 1 is due on Feb 18 by midnight
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Today and the Next Two Weeks
The Memory Hierarchy

- Storage devices play an important role in database systems

- How systems arrange storage?

More expensive, but faster!

Less expensive, but slower!

Disk

160GB- 4TB
1000s of times slower

Main Memory

1GB-8GB
600+ Cycles

L3 Cache

4MB-32MB
30-50 Cycles

L2 Cache

512KB-8MB
6-15 Cycles

L1-D

L1-I

L1-D

L1-I

2-3 GHZ

16KB-64KB
2-4 Cycles

Less expensive, but slower!
Where to Store Data?

- Where do DBMSs store information?
  - DBMSs store large amount of data (e.g., Big Data!)

- Buying enough memory to store all data is prohibitively expensive (let alone that memories are volatile)

- Thus, databases are usually stored on disks (or tapes for backups)
But, What Will Do With Memory?

- Data must be brought into memory to be processed!
  - **READ**: transfer data from disk to main memory (RAM)
  - **WRITE**: transfer data from RAM to disk

- I/O time dominates the time taken for database operations!

- To minimize I/O time, it is necessary to store and locate data *strategically*
Magnetic Disks

- Data is stored in disk **blocks**
- Blocks are arranged in concentric rings called **tracks**
- Each track is divided into arcs called **sectors** (whose size is fixed)
- The block size is a multiple of sector size
- The set of all tracks with the same diameter is called **cylinder**
- To read/write data, the arm assembly is moved in or out to position a head on a desired track
Accessing a Disk Block

- What is I/O time?
  - The time to move the disk heads to the track on which a desired block is located
  - The waiting time for the desired block to rotate under the disk head
  - The time to actually read or write the data in the block once the head is positioned
Accessing a Disk Block

- What is I/O time?
  - Seek Time
  - Rotational Time
  - Transfer Time

- I/O time = seek time + rotational time + transfer time
Implications on DBMSs

- Seek time and rotational delay dominate!
- Key to lower I/O cost: reduce seek/rotation delays!
- How to minimize seek and rotational delays?
  - Blocks on same track, followed by
  - Blocks on same cylinder, followed by
  - Blocks on adjacent cylinder
  - Hence, **sequential** arrangement of blocks in a file is a big win!

More on that later…
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Many Disks vs. One Disk

- Although disks provide cheap, non-volatile storage for DBMSs, they are usually bottlenecks for DBMSs
  - Reliability
  - Performance

- How about adopting multiple disks?
  1. More data can be held as opposed to one disk
  2. Data can be stored redundantly; hence, if one disk fails, data can be found on another
  3. Data can be accessed concurrently
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Multiple Disks

Discussions on:

Reliability

Performance

Reliability + Performance
Logical Volume Managers (LVMs)

- But, disk addresses used within a file system are assumed to refer to one particular disk (or sub-disk)

- What about providing an abstraction that makes a number of disks *appear* as one disk?
Logical Volume Managers (LVMs)

- What can LVMs do?
  - **Spanning:**
    - LVM transparently maps a *larger* address space to *different* disks
  - **Mirroring:**
    - Each disk can hold a separate, identical copy of data
    - LVM directs writes to the same block address on each disk
    - LVM directs a read to *any* disk (e.g., to the less busy one)
Logical Volume Managers (LVMs)

What can LVMs do?

- **Spanning:**
  - LVM transparently maps a *larger* address space to *different* disks

- **Mirroring:**
  - Each disk can hold a separate, identical copy of data
  - LVM directs write operations to the disk(s) with less load on each disk
  - Mainly Provides Redundancy!
  - LVM directs a read to *any* disk (e.g., to the less busy one)
Multiple Disks

Discussions on:

- Reliability
- Performance
- Reliability + Performance
Data Striping

- To achieve parallel accesses, we can use a technique called **data striping**

![Diagram of data striping]

- **Logical File**
- **Stripe Length = # of disks**
- **Striping Unit**

- **Disk 1**: 0 4 8 12
- **Disk 2**: 1 5 9 13
- **Disk 3**: 2 6 10 14
- **Disk 4**: 3 7 11 15
Data Striping

- To achieve parallel accesses, we can use a technique called **data striping**

```plaintext
Client I: 512K write, offset 0

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

0 4 1 5 2 6 3 7

Disk 1

Client II: 512K write, offset 512

8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15

8 12 9 13 10 14 11 15

Disk 4
```
Data Striping

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stripe 1</th>
<th>Disk 1</th>
<th>Disk 2</th>
<th>Disk 3</th>
<th>Disk 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stripe 2</td>
<td>Unit 1</td>
<td>Unit 2</td>
<td>Unit 3</td>
<td>Unit 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unit 5</td>
<td>Unit 6</td>
<td>Unit 7</td>
<td>Unit 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unit 9</td>
<td>Unit 10</td>
<td>Unit 11</td>
<td>Unit 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stripe 4</td>
<td>Unit 13</td>
<td>Unit 14</td>
<td>Unit 15</td>
<td>Unit 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unit 17</td>
<td>Unit 18</td>
<td>Unit 19</td>
<td>Unit 20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Each stripe is written across all disks at once.

Typically, a unit is either:
- A bit ➔ Bit Interleaving
- A byte ➔ Byte Interleaving
- A block ➔ Block Interleaving
Striping Unit Values: Tradeoffs

- Small striping unit values
  - Higher parallelism (+)
  - Smaller amount of data to transfer (+)
  - Increased seek and rotational delays (-)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disk 1</th>
<th>Disk 2</th>
<th>Disk 3</th>
<th>Disk 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Striping Unit Values: Tradeoffs

- Large striping unit values
  - Lower parallelism (-)
  - Larger amount of data to transfer (-)
  - Decreased seek and rotational delays (+)
  - A request can be handled completely on a separate disk! (- or +)
  - But, multiple requests could be satisfied at once! (+)
Striping Unit Values: Tradeoffs

- Large striping unit values
  - Lower parallelism
  - Larger amount of data to transfer
  - Decreased seek and rotational delays
  - A request can be handled completely on a separate disk!
- Number of requests = Concurrency Factor

Disk 1
Disk 2
Disk 3
Disk 4

Number of requests = Concurrency Factor
Multiple Disks

Discussions on:

- Reliability
- Performance
- Reliability + Performance
Redundant Arrays of Independent Disks

- A system depending on $N$ disks is much more likely to fail than one depending on one disk
  - If the probability of one disk to fail is $f$
  - Then, the probability of $N$ disks to fail is $(1-(1-f)^N)$

- How would we combine reliability with performance?
  - Redundant Arrays of Inexpensive Disks (RAID) combines mirroring and striping

Nowadays, Independent!
RAID Level 0

Data

Striping

Two hard drives connected by striping.
RAID Level 1

Data

Mirroring

 RAID Level 1
RAID Level 2

Data bits

Check bits

Bit Interleaving; ECC
RAID Level 3

Data

Bit Interleaving; Parity

Data bits

Parity bits
RAID Level 4

Data

Block Interleaving; Parity

Data blocks

Parity blocks
RAID Level 5

Data

Block Interleaving; Parity

Data and parity blocks
RAID 4 vs. RAID 5

- What if we have a lot of small writes?
  - RAID 5 is the best

- What if we have mostly large writes?
  - Multiples of stripes
  - Either is fine

- What if we want to expand the number of disks?
  - RAID 4: add a disk and re-compute parity
  - RAID 5: add a disk, re-compute parity, and shuffle data blocks among all disks to reestablish the check-block pattern (*expensive*!)
Beyond RAID 5

- **RAID 6**
  - Like RAID 5, but additional parity
  - Handles two failures

- **Cascaded RAID**
  - RAID 1+0 (RAID 10)
    - Striping across mirrored drives
  - RAID 0+1
    - Two striped sets, mirroring each other
Beyond Disks: Flash

- Flash memory is a relatively new technology providing the functionality needed to hold file systems and DBMSs
  - It is writable
  - It is readable
  - Writing is slower than reading
  - It is non-volatile
  - Faster than disks, but slower than DRAMs
  - Unlike disks, it provides random access
  - Limited lifetime
  - More expensive than disks
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Disk Space Management

- DBMSs disk space managers:
  - Support the concept of a page as a unit of data
    - Page size is usually chosen to be equal to the block size
  - Allocate/de-allocate pages as a contiguous sequence of blocks on disks
  - Abstracts hardware (and possibly OS) details from higher DBMS levels
Data and Metadata Maintenance

- The DBMS disk space manager keeps track of:
  - Which disk blocks are in use
  - Which pages are on which disk blocks

- Blocks can be initially allocated *contiguously*, but allocating and de-allocating blocks usually create "holes"

- Hence, a mechanism to keep track of *free blocks* is needed
  - A list of free blocks can be maintained (*storage could be an issue*)
  - Alternatively, a *bitmap* with one bit per each disk block can be maintained (*more storage efficient and faster in identifying contiguous free areas!*
OS File Systems vs. DBMS Disk Space Managers

- Operating Systems already employ disk space managers using their “file” abstraction
  - “Read byte \( i \) of file \( f \)” → “read block \( m \) of track \( t \) of cylinder \( c \) of disk \( d \)”

- DBMSs disk space managers usually pursue their own disk management without relying on OS file systems
  - Enables portability
  - Can address larger amounts of data
  - Allows spanning and mirroring
DBMS Layers
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Buffer Management

- What is a DBMS buffer manager?
  - It is the software responsible for bringing pages from disk(s) to RAM as needed
  - It hides the fact that not all data are in the RAM

Page Requests from Higher Levels

choice of frame dictated by a replacement policy
Satisfying Page Requests

- For each frame in the pool, the DBMS buffer manager maintains two variables:
  - \textit{pin\_count}: \# of users of a page
  - \textit{dirty}: whether a page has been modified or not

- Upon a \textit{page fault}, the DBMS buffer manager
  - Chooses a frame for \textit{replacement} and increments its \textit{pin\_count} (\textit{a process known as pinning})
  - If the frame is dirty, writes it back to disk
  - Reads the requested page into the chosen frame
Satisfying Page Requests (Cont’d)

- A frame is not used to store a new page until its pin_count becomes 0
  - I.e., until all requestors of the old page have unpinned it (*a process known as unpinning*)

- When *many* frames with pin_count = 0 are available, a replacement mechanism is triggered

- If no frame in the pool has pin_count = 0 and a page fault occurs, the buffer manager must *wait* until some page is released!
Replacement Policies

- When a new page is to be placed in the pool, a resident page should be evicted first.

- Criterion for an optimum replacement [Belady, 1966]:
  - The page that will be accessed the farthest in the future should be the one that is evicted.

- Unfortunately, optimum replacement is not implementable!

- Hence, most buffer managers implement a different criterion:
  - E.g., the page that was accessed the farthest back in the past is the one that is evicted.
Replacement Policies

- When a new page is to be placed in the pool, a resident page should be evicted first.

- Criterion for an optimum replacement [Belady, 1966]:
  - The page that will be accessed the farthest in the future should be the one that is evicted.

- Unfortunately, optimum replacement is not implementable!

- Hence, most buffer managers implement a different criterion:
  - This policy is known as the Least Recently Used (LRU) policy!
  - Or: MRU, Clock, FIFO, and Random, among others.
The LRU Replacement Policy

- Least Recently Used (LRU):
  - For each page in the buffer pool, keep track of the last time it was *unpinned*.
  - Evict the page at the frame which has the *oldest* time.

- But, what if a user requires *iterative sequential scans* of data which do not fit in the pool?

  Assume an access pattern of **A, B, C, A, B, C, etc.**


  This phenomenon is known as “sequential flooding” (*for this, MRU works better!*).
Virtual Memory vs. DBMS Buffer Managers

- Operating Systems already employ a buffer management technique known as *virtual memory*.
Virtual Memory vs. DBMS Buffer Managers

- Nonetheless, DBMSs pursue their own buffer management so that they can:
  - Predict page reference patterns more accurately and applying effective strategies (e.g., page prefetching for improving performance)
  - Force pages to disks (needed for the WAL protocol)
    - The OS cannot guarantee this
Concluding Remarks

- DBMSs store data in disks
  - Disks provide large, cheap and non-volatile storage

- I/O time dominates!

- The cost depends on the locations of pages on disk (*among others*)

- It is important to arrange data *sequentially* to minimize *seek* and *rotation* delays
Concluding Remarks

- The lowest layer of the DBMS software which deals with management of space on disk is called **disk space manager**
  - Higher layers allocate, de-allocate, read and write pages through (routines provided by) this layer

- However, data must be in memory for DBMSs to operate on

- The **buffer manager** sits on top of the disk space manager and brings pages in from disks to RAM as needed in response to read/write requests
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