Database Applications (15-415)

DBMS Internals- Part XIII Lecture 24, April 16, 2015

Mohammad Hammoud



Today...

Last Session:

Transaction Management

- Today's Session:
 - Recovery Management
- Announcement:
 - PS3 grades are out





جا مکت کارنیدی میلود فی قطر Carnegie Mellon University Qatar

Outline



Carnegie Mellon University Qatar

The ACID Properties

- Four properties must be ensured in the face of concurrent accesses and system failures:
 - Atomicity: Either all actions of a transaction are carried out or none at all
 - <u>Consistency</u>: Each transaction (run by itself with no concurrent execution) must preserve the consistency of the database
 - Isolation: Execution of one transaction is isolated (or protected) from the effects of other concurrently running transactions
 - Durability: If a transaction commits, its effects persist (even of the system crashes before all its changes are reflected on disk)

The ACID Properties

Four properties must be ensured in the face of concurrent accesses and system failures:



Outline



Carnegie Mellon University Qatar

Ensuring Atomicity and Durability

- How can the recovery manager ensure atomicity and durability (in case of a failure)?
 - It can ensure atomicity by *undoing* the actions of transactions that did not commit
 - It can ensure durability by *redoing* (all) the actions of committed transactions



- Desired Behavior after the system restarts:
 - T1, T2 & T3 should be durable
 - T4 & T5 should be rolled back

Stealing Frames and Forcing Pages

- To realize what it takes to implement a recovery manager, it is necessary to understand what happens during normal execution
 - Can the changes made to an object *O* in the buffer pool by a transaction *T* be written to disk before *T* commits?
 - Yes, if another transaction <u>steals</u> O's frame (a <u>steal approach</u> is said to be in place)
 - No, if stealing is not allowed (a *no-steal approach* is said to be in place)
 - When T commits, must we ensure that all its changes are immediately *forced* to disk?
 - Yes, if a *force approach* is used
 - No, if a *no-force approach* is used

Steal vs. No-Steal and Force vs. No-Force Approaches

- What if a no-steal approach is used?
 - We do not have to undo the changes of an aborted transaction (+)
 - But this assumes that all pages modified by ongoing transactions can be accommodated in the buffer pool (-)
- What if a force approach is used?
 - We do not have to *redo* the changes of a committed transaction (+)
 - But this results in excessive page I/O costs (e.g., when a highly used page is updated in succession by 20 transactions, it would be written to disk 20 times!) (-)

Steal vs. No-Steal and Force vs. No-Force Approaches (*Cont'd*)

• We indeed have four alternatives that we can employ:

	No-Steal	Steal	
Force	Trivial, but undesired	High I/O cost, but modified pages need not fit in the buffer pool	
No-Force	Low I/O cost, but modified pages need to fit in the buffer pool	Low I/O cost, and modified pages need not fit in the buffer pool	

Most DBMSs use a steal, no-force approach

Outline



Carnegie Mellon University Qatar

Logging and the WAL Property

- In order to recover from failures, the recovery manager maintains a *log* of all modifications to the database on *stable storage* (which should survive crashes)
- After a failure, the DBMS "replays" the log to:
 - Redo committed transactions
 - Undo uncommitted transactions
- Caveat: A log record describing a change must be written to stable storage <u>before</u> the change is made
 - This is referred to as the Write-Ahead Log (WAL) property

The WAL Protocol

- WAL is the fundamental rule that ensures that a record of every change to the database is available after a crash
- What if a transaction made a change, committed, then a crash occurred (i.e., no log is kept "before" the crash)?
 - The *no-force approach* entails that this change may not have been written to disk before the crash
 - Without a record of this change, there would be no way to ensure that the committed transaction survives the crash
 - Hence, durability cannot be guaranteed!

To guarantee *durability*, a record for every change must be written to stable storage *before the change is made*

The WAL Protocol (Cont'd)

- WAL is the fundamental rule that ensures that a record of every change to the database is available after a crash
- What if a transaction made a change, was progressing, and a crash occurred?
 - The steal approach entails that this change may have been written to disk before the crash
 - Without a record of this change, there would be no way to ensure that the transaction can be rolled back (i.e., its effects would be unseen)
 - Hence, atomicity cannot be guaranteed!

To guarantee *atomicity*, a record for every change must be written to stable storage *before the change is made*

Outline



Carnegie Mellon University Qatar

The Log

- The log is <u>a file of records</u> stored in stable storage
- Every log record is given a unique id called the Log
 Sequence Number (LSN)
 - LSNs are assigned in a monotonically increasing order (this is required by the ARIES recovery algorithm- *later*)
- Every page contains the LSN of the most recent log record, which describes a change to this page
 - This is called the pageLSN

The Log (Cont'd)

- The most recent portion of the log, called the *log tail*, is kept in main memory and *forced* periodically to disk
- The DBMS keeps track of the maximum LSN flushed to disk so far
 - This is called the flushedLSN
- As per the WAL protocol, before a page is written to disk,
 pageLSN ≤ flushedLSN



flushed to disk

When to Write Log Records?

• A log record is written after:

- Updating a Page
 - An *update log record* is appended to the log tail
 - The pageLSN of the page is set to the LSN of the update log record
- Committing a Transaction
 - A *commit log record* is appended to the log tail
 - The log tail is written to stable storage, up to and including the commit log record
- Aborting a Transaction
 - An abort log record is appended to the log tail
 - An undo is initiated for this transaction

When to Write Log Records?

- A log record is written after:
 - Ending (After Aborting or Committing) a Transaction:
 - Additional steps are completed (*later*)
 - An *end log record* is appended to the log tail
 - Undoing an Update
 - When the action (described by an update log record) is undone, a compensation log record (CLR) is appended to the log tail
 - CLR describes the action taken to undo the action recorded in the corresponding update log record

Log Records

The fields of a log record are usually as follows:



Other Recovery-Related Structures

- In addition to the log, the following two tables are maintained:
 - The Transaction Table
 - One entry *E* for each <u>active</u> transaction
 - *E* fields are:
 - Transaction ID
 - Status, which can be "Progress", "Committed" or "Aborted"
 - *lastLSN*, which is the most recent log record for this transaction
 - The Dirty Page Table
 - One entry E' for each <u>dirty</u> page in the buffer pool
 - E' fields are:
 - Page ID
 - recLSN, which is the LSN of the first log record that caused the page to become dirty

An Example



Transaction Table

An Example



Transaction Table

An Example



Transaction Table



Carnegie Mellon University Qatar