15-122: Principles of Imperative Computation

Lab 8: Hash This!

Collaboration: In lab, we encourage collaboration and discussion as you work through the problems. These activities, like recitation, are meant to get you to review what we've learned, look at problems from a different perspective and allow you to ask questions about topics you don't understand. We encourage discussing problems with your neighbors as you work through this lab!

 ${\bf Setup:}$ Download the handout

% cd private/15122 % wget https://web2.qatar.cmu.edu/~srazak/courses/15122-s20/lab/handout-08.tgz % tar xfvz handout-08.tgz % cd lab08

Grading: Complete (1.a) to (1.c) for full credit. Additionally, finish (2.a) for extra credit. **Submit** your files to Autolab.

Finding collisions in hash functions

Recall that a hash function h(k) takes a key k as its argument and returns some integer, a *hash value*; we can then use abs(h(k)%m) as an index into our hash table. In this lab you will be examining various hash functions and exploiting their inefficiencies to make them collide.

It will be convenient to will denote a string of length n (for n > 0) as $s_0 s_1 s_2 \dots s_{n-2} s_{n-1}$, where s_i is the ASCII value of character i in string s. (A partial ASCII table is given to the right.) We define four hash functions as follows:

hash_add: $h(s) = s_0 + s_1 + s_2 + \dots + s_{n-2} + s_{n-1}$

hash_mul32:

 $h(s) = (\dots ((s_0 \times 32 + s_1) \times 32 + s_2) \times 32 \dots + s_{n-2}) \times 32 + s_{n-1}$

hash_mul31:

 $h(s) = (\dots ((s_0 \times 31 + s_1) \times 31 + s_2) \times 31 \dots + s_{n-2}) \times 31 + s_{n-1}$

hash_lcg:

 $h(s) = f(f(\dots f(f(s_0) + s_1) + s_2) \dots + s_{n-2}) + s_{n-1})$ where $f(x) = 1664525 \times x + 1013904223$

Partial ASCII Table								
32	20	J	64	40	0	96	60	'
33	21	!	65	41	А	97	61	а
34	22	н	66	42	В	98	62	b
35	23	#	67	43	С	99	63	С
36	24	\$	68	44	D	100	64	d
37	25	%	69	45	Е	101	65	е
38	26	&	70	46	F	102	66	f
39	27	'	71	47	G	103	67	g
40	28	(72	48	Н	104	68	h
41	29)	73	49	Ι	105	69	i
42	$2\mathrm{A}$	*	74	4A	J	106	$6 \mathrm{A}$	j
43	2B	+	75	4B	Κ	107	$6 \mathrm{B}$	k
44	$2\mathrm{C}$,	76	$4\mathrm{C}$	L	108	$6\mathrm{C}$	ι
45	2D	-	77	4D	М	109	$6\mathrm{D}$	m
46	$2\mathrm{E}$		78	$4\mathrm{E}$	Ν	110	$6\mathrm{E}$	n
47	$2\mathrm{F}$	/	79	$4\mathrm{F}$	0	111	$6\mathrm{F}$	0
48	30	0	80	50	Ρ	112	70	р
49	31	1	81	51	Q	113	71	q
50	32	2	82	52	R	114	72	r
51	33	3	83	53	S	115	73	s
52	34	4	84	54	Т	116	74	t
53	35	5	85	55	U	117	75	u
54	36	6	86	56	۷	118	76	v
55	37	7	87	57	W	119	77	W
56	38	8	88	58	Х	120	78	х
57	39	9	89	59	Y	121	79	у
58	$3\mathrm{A}$:	90	$5\mathrm{A}$	Ζ	122	7A	z
59	3B	;	91	5B	[123	7B	{
60	$3\mathrm{C}$	<	92	$5\mathrm{C}$	١	124	$7\mathrm{C}$	Ι
61	3D	=	93	$5\mathrm{D}$]	125	$7\mathrm{D}$	}
62	$3\mathrm{E}$	>	94	$5\mathrm{E}$	^	126	$7\mathrm{E}$	\sim
63	$3\mathrm{F}$?	95	5F	_			

Tuesday March 10th

These four hash functions have been implemented for you and can be run from the command line like this, for example:

% hash_add
Enter a string to hash: bar
hash value = 309
hashes to index 309 in a table of size 1024
Another? (empty line quits):

Note that the command line hashing tool also reports where the element with the given key will hash to given a table size of 1024. This is important because hash tables have a limited size, so we want to minimize collisions within said size.

The first exercise requires you to mathematically reverse-engineer one of the simpler hash functions:

(1.a) Find three or more strings, each string containing three or more characters, that would always collide because they have the same hash value using hash_add. Write the strings, one string per line, in the file equal_hashadd.txt.

Now, let's work through a more complicated real-world example: hashing an entire dictionary. We would like to know which hashing function would be the best to hash the Scrabble dictionary. We define a hashing function to be "better" based on how efficiently it spreads out the words over the buckets. Obviously, this depends on the size of our hash table: if we have a smaller hash table, there will naturally be more collisions. That's why we can use a visualizer (implemented for you in file visualizer.c0) to see how many words hash to each bucket for a given hash function.

(1.b) Implement your own version of hash_mul32 in hash-a.c0 so that the function hash_string(s) returns an integer representing the hash value for s using the formula given on the previous page. The string library may be helpful in this. You can compile your code and run it with the following command:

```
% cc0 hash-a.c0 hash-dictionary.c0 visualizer.c0
% ./a.out -o mul32.png
% display mul32.png
```

This will output a graphical visualization of your hash function on the dictionary for a table of size 1024, with the vertical lines showing how many values hashed to that index in the table. If you are ssh'ing remember to ssh with -Y or -X! You can run your program with the -n flag followed by a different table size if you like. You can see just how ineffective hash_mul32 is!

(1.c) Now, similarly implement hash_lcg in hash-b.c0, and compile it for the dictionary:

% cc0 hash-b.c0 hash-dictionary.c0 visualizer.c0

Run it like above to see how well it hashes the dictionary. Compare this to hash_mul32.

Hashing faculty

In file **profs.txt**, there is a list of CS faculty info, which we will parse for you into the following structs. We would like to hash such structs into a hash table (some fields may be blank):



3pt

```
typedef struct prof prof_t;
struct prof {
   string name; string title; string office; string email;
   int area_code; // 0 if no phone number
   int phone; // 0 if no phone number
};
```

(2.a) Implement two different ways of hashing faculty within a function called hash_prof(prof_t* p) in two different C0 files. Try and think of two different strategies. You can use your code for hashing a string if you would like to hash the strings separately.

Compile and run your code as follows:

```
% cc0 <your hash file>.c0 hash-profs.c0 visualizer.c0
% ./a.out -o mullcg.png
```

Run them through the visualizer and compare the results. You and your neighbor can each write one and then compare. Try and understand what makes a better hashing function! **Hint:** try lowering the bucket size as there is way less faculty than words in the dictionary.

